

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,235	09/04/2003	Fausto Casaro	02-41 US	5686
23693 Varian Inc.	7590 05/22/2008		EXAMINER	
Legal Department			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
3120 Hansen Way D-102 Palo Alto, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/655,235 CASARO, FAUSTO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Rick K. Chang 3726 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigihara et al (US 6.094.815) in view of Sun (US 5.507.617).

Shigihara discloses a workpiece (S1); forging (S4) to form the cylindrical body being a semi-finished part having a homogenous mechanical properties (col. 4, lines 50-53); 10 prevents the workpiece from radial expansion; 80 and 22 form cavities while the workpiece is prevented from its radial expansions by a mold 20 (col. 4, lines 31-45); polishing the cavities (col. 4, lines 11-13).

Shigihara fails to disclose milling the vanes.

Sun discloses milling the vanes (col. 5, lines 41-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigihara by milling the vanes, as taught by Sun, for the purpose of removing unwanted burrs and imperfections from the vanes to meet the desired design characteristics.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigihara et al (US 6,094,815)/Sun (US 5,507,617) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Papst et al (US 3,786,290).

Art Unit: 3726

Shigihara/Sun fail to disclose a bell-shaped rotor.

Papst discloses a bell-shaped rotor (14).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigihara/Sun by forming a bell-shaped rotor to the Shigihara/Sun, as taught by Papst, for the purpose of forming a cage to carry impeller blades.

4. Claims 4-5 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigihara et al (US 6,094,815)/Sun (US 5,507,617)/Papst et al (US 3,786,290) as applied to claims 1, 3 above, and further in view of Askey et al (US 4,860,567).

Re claim 4: Shigihara/Sun/Papst fail to disclose subsequently forging forming a cavity within said cylindrical body being a cylindrical billet by means of a punch that is forced into the billet, while preventing at the same time radial expansions of the billet through confinement in a mold.

Askey discloses subsequently forging forming a cavity (Fig. 2) within said cylindrical body being a cylindrical billet (10) by means of a punch (20) that is forced into the billet, while preventing at the same time radial expansions of the billet through confinement in a mold (30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigihara/Sun/Papst by subsequently forging forming a cavity within said cylindrical body being a cylindrical billet by means of a punch that is forced into the billet, while preventing at the same time radial expansions of the billet through confinement in a mold to the Shigihara/Sun/Papst, as taught by Askey, for the purpose of forming the blind cavity in the billet without requiring additional processing steps.

Art Unit: 3726

Re claim 5: Shigihara discloses in col. 4, lines 6-8 refining by subsequent mechanical working, except for extending said cavity over a part of said cylindrical billet.

Askey discloses extending said cavity over a part of said cylindrical billet (Fig. 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigihara/Sun/Papst by extending said cavity over a part of said cylindrical billet to the Shigihara/Sun/Papst, as taught by Askey, for the purpose of forming the blind cavity in the billet without requiring additional processing steps.

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigihara et al (US 6,094,815)/Sun (US 5,507,617)/Papst et al (US 3,786,290)/Askey et al (US 4,860,567) as applied to claims 7 and 3-5 above, and further in view of Parizek (US 4,789,410).

Papst discloses forming a central bore (where 11 is going thru 14) on a bottom of the cavity (where 11 lies), except for subsequently performing a thermal treatment.

Parizek discloses performing a thermal treatment (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Shigihara/Sun/Papst/Askey by subsequently performing a thermal treatment to the Shigihara/Sun/Papst/Askey, as taught by Parizek, for the purpose of establishing metallurgical and mechanical properties.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Interviews After Final

Art Unit: 3726

7. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. <u>Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new</u> limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 8. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this
 Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).
 Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/655,235

Art Unit: 3726

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3726

Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

RC May 21, 2008